

Eisenstein Irreducibility Criterion

Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ where $n \geq 1$
 If there exist a prime p s.t. $p|a_0, p|a_1, \dots, p|a_{n-1}$, but $p \nmid a_n$ and $p^2 \nmid a_0$, then
 $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof: Suppose $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q}
 $\Rightarrow f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Z} also
 { using theorem done before }
 $\Rightarrow f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$; $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$
 $\nexists \deg g(x) \geq 1 \nexists \deg h(x) \geq 1$

$$\text{Let } g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_sx^s$$

$$h(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_tx^t$$

$$\text{as } f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 = b_0c_0 ; a_n = b_s c_t \text{ and } n = s+t$$

now $p|a_0 \Rightarrow p|b_0c_0$
 \Rightarrow either $p|b_0$ or $p|c_0$

and $p^2 \nmid a_0 \Rightarrow p^2 \nmid b_0c_0 \Rightarrow p$ cannot divide both b_0 and c_0

Hence either $p|b_0$ but $p \nmid c_0$ OR $p \nmid b_0$ but $p|c_0$

Also $p \nmid a_n \Rightarrow p \nmid b_s c_t \Rightarrow p \nmid b_s$ and $p \nmid c_t$

Case-1 If $f \mid c_0$ but $f \nmid b_0$
as $f \mid c_0$

Let c_m be the first coefficient of $h(x)$ s.t
 $f \nmid c_m$

Also we know as $f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$

$$\therefore a_m = b_0 c_m + b_1 c_{m-1} + b_2 c_{m-2} + \dots + b_m c_0$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{m can be } 1 \leq m \leq s \\ \therefore \text{ as } s < n = \text{deg } f \\ \therefore m < n \end{array} \right\}$$

also

$$f \mid a_m \quad \left\{ \because m < n \text{ (Given in statement)} \right.$$

$$\text{and } f \mid c_i \forall i < m$$

$$\Rightarrow f \mid b_0 c_{m-1} + b_1 c_{m-2} + \dots + b_{m-1} c_0$$

Hence

$$f \mid a_m - (b_1 c_{m-1} + b_2 c_{m-2} + \dots + b_{m-1} c_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow f \mid b_0 c_m$$

$$\Rightarrow f \mid b_0 \text{ or } f \mid c_m$$

$$\text{as } f \nmid b_0 \leftarrow \text{also } f \nmid c_m$$

Case 2 If $\beta \mid b_0$ but $\underline{\beta \nmid c_0}$
as $\underline{\beta \nmid b_t}$

Let b_t be the first coefficient of $g(x)$ s.t
 $\beta \nmid b_t$

Also we know $f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$

$$\therefore a_t = b_0 c_t + b_1 c_{t-1} + \dots + b_t c_0$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{as } t \text{ can vary in b/w } 1 \leq t \leq r \\ \text{as } t < n = \lambda + \delta \\ \Rightarrow t < n \end{array} \right\}$

also

$$f \mid a_t \quad \left\{ \because t < n \right\}$$

and

$$\beta \mid b_i \quad \forall i < t$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \mid b_0 c_t + b_1 c_{t-1} + \dots + b_{t-1} c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \mid a_t - (b_0 c_t + b_1 c_{t-1} + \dots + b_{t-1} c_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \mid b_t c_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \mid b_t \quad \text{or} \quad \beta \mid c_0$$



as $\underline{\beta \nmid b_t}$ also $\underline{\beta \nmid c_0}$

Hence our assumption was wrong

and $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}

Exercise : $f(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

check whether $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} ?

Method 1

$$f(x) = g(x)h(x)$$

$1+3$

✓ root exist

$2+2$

$3+1$

✓ root exist

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{root} | \text{ constant term} \\ \Rightarrow \text{root} | 1 \\ \Rightarrow \text{root} = \pm 1 \end{array}$$

→ ←

then $2+2$

$$(a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2)(b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2)$$

try to break

Method 2

$$f(x) = \frac{1-x^5}{1-x}$$

$$f(x+1) = \frac{1-(x+1)^5}{1-(x+1)}$$

$$= 1 - [x^5 + 5x^4 + 10x^3 + 10x^2 + 5x + 1]$$

-x

$$= -x[x^4 + 5x^3 + 10x^2 + 10x + 5]$$

-x

$$p = 5$$

$f \mid a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ but not $f \mid a_0$

$\therefore f(x+1)$ is irreducible

$\Rightarrow f(x)$ is irreducible

for power upto $p-1$

$$f(x+1) = \frac{1-(x+1)^p}{-x}$$

Ex Let $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, Prove that $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} iff either $a=b$ or $a+b=-2$

Ex Let $f(x) = x^4 + 8 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Prove that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}

Ex Show that $x^3 + 3x + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_7[x]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_7

Unit - 2

Prime element

Def: A non-zero element β of an integral domain R is called a prime element if
 β is not a unit.
 $\beta | ab$ then $\beta | a$ or $\beta | b$

ex

\mathbb{Z} all prime number in \mathbb{Z} are prime elements.

$\mathbb{Z}_p - p$ is prime is a field

\Rightarrow each (+) element in \mathbb{Z}_p is unit

Hence no prime element exist in $\mathbb{Z}_p - p$ prime

Hence no prime element exist in field.

\mathbb{Q} - field, Hence no prime element in \mathbb{Q}

\mathbb{Z}_{10} - is not integral domain, \therefore we can't check for prime element.

\mathbb{R} - field, no prime element in \mathbb{R}

Irreducible element

Def: A non-zero element β of an integral domain R is called an irreducible element if

1. β is not a unit

2. If $\beta = ab$ for some $a, b \in R$ then either a is unit or b is unit,

eg

In \mathbb{Z} each prime no. is irreducible

Theorem: Let R be an integral domain then every prime element of R is irreducible.
But the converse may not be true.

Proof: Let p be a prime element of R .

$\Rightarrow p$ is non zero, non unit also

Let $p = bc$ for some $b, c \in R$

T.P $b \in U(R)$ or $c \in U(R)$

now $p = bc \Rightarrow p/bc$

as p is prime

$\Rightarrow p/b$ or p/c

Case I if p/b

$\Rightarrow b = pk$ for some $k \in R$

put in ①

$$\Rightarrow p = pkc$$

$$\Rightarrow p - pkc = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p(1-kc) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-kc) = 0$$

{ as p is prime }
 $\therefore 1-kc = 0$

$$\Rightarrow kc = 1 \Rightarrow c \text{ is unit element}$$

$$\Rightarrow c \in U(R)$$

Case-2

$$gf \mid c$$

$$\Rightarrow c = ft \quad \text{for some } t \in R$$

put in ①

$$\Rightarrow f = bft$$

$$\Rightarrow f(1 - bt) = 0$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is prime} \\ \therefore b = 0 \end{array} \right\}$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - bt = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow bt = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow b \in U(R) \Rightarrow b \text{ is unit element of } R$$

Converse is not always true

i.e Example of an irreducible element in an I.D which is not prime

$$\text{Let } R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$$

$$= \{a + b\sqrt{-5} ; a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Claim R is Integral Domain

$$\text{Let } a + b\sqrt{-5}, c + d\sqrt{-5} \in R$$

DATE: / /
PAGE No.

$$s.t \quad (a+b\sqrt{-5})(c+d\sqrt{-5}) = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Taking conjugate

$$(a-b\sqrt{-5})(c-d\sqrt{-5}) = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \times \textcircled{2}$$

$\in \mathbb{Z}$ as a, b, c, d, s all are in \mathbb{Z}

$$\Rightarrow (a^2+5b^2)(c^2+5d^2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a^2+5b^2 = 0 \quad \text{or } c^2+5d^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } a \neq b = 0 \quad \text{or } c \neq d = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } (a+b\sqrt{-5}) = 0 \quad \text{or } (c+d\sqrt{-5}) = 0$$

$\therefore R$ is an integral domain

Now we will find $U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}])$ i.e units of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

$$\text{Let } a+b\sqrt{-5} \in U(R) \Rightarrow \exists \ c+d\sqrt{-5} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$$

s.t

$$(a+b\sqrt{-5})(c+d\sqrt{-5}) = 1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Taking conjugate

$$(a-b\sqrt{-5})(c-d\sqrt{-5}) = 1 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \times \textcircled{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (a^2+5b^2)(c^2+5d^2) = 1 \quad \text{f as } a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2 > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a^2+5b^2) = \pm 1 \quad \text{f } (c^2+5d^2) = \pm 1 \quad \therefore \text{we drop -1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2+5b^2 = 1 \quad \text{f } c^2+5d^2 = 1$$

if

$$b \neq 0 \Rightarrow b^2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow 5b^2 \geq 5 \Rightarrow a^2+5b^2 \geq 5$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \pm 1$$

similarly $c = \pm 1$

$$\therefore \text{Units of } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{\pm 1\}$$

$$U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]) = \{\pm 1\}$$

Consider $3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

claim 3 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

on contrary let 3 is reducible

$$\Rightarrow 3 = (a+b\sqrt{-5})(c+d\sqrt{-5})$$

Taking conjugate

$$\Rightarrow 3 = (a-b\sqrt{-5})(c-d\sqrt{-5}) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

(1) \times (2)

$$\Rightarrow 9 = (a^2+5b^2)(c^2+5d^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2+5b^2=1 ; c^2+5d^2=9$$

or

$$a^2+5b^2=3 ; c^2+5d^2=3$$

or

$$a^2+5b^2=9 ; c^2+5d^2=1$$

Case-1 if $a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$; $c^2 + 5d^2 = 9$

$$a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a = \pm 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 + b\sqrt{5} = \pm 1 \quad \text{i.e. unit}$$

Case-2 if $a^2 + 5b^2 = 3$; $c^2 + 5d^2 = 3$

$$a^2 + 5b^2 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 = 3$$

not possible

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} b \neq 0 \Rightarrow b^2 > 1 \\ \Rightarrow 5b^2 \geq 5 \\ \Rightarrow a^2 + 5b^2 \geq 5 \end{array} \right\}$$

as $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

Hence case-2 is not possible.

Case-3 if $a^2 + 5b^2 = 9$; $c^2 + 5d^2 = 1$

$$\Rightarrow c^2 + 5d^2 = 1$$

$$\therefore d = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \pm 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c + d\sqrt{5} = \pm 1 \quad \text{i.e. unit}$$

\therefore when $3 = (a+b\sqrt{5})(c+d\sqrt{5})$

then one of $a+b\sqrt{5}$ or $c+d\sqrt{5}$ are units

\therefore
3 is irreducible

Vain 3 is not prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$

i.e. $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ s.t. $3|ab$ but $3 \nmid a$ & $3 \nmid b$

clearly $3|9$

$$9 = (2+\sqrt{5})(2-\sqrt{5})$$

$$\Rightarrow 3 \mid (2+\sqrt{5})(2-\sqrt{5})$$

Let $3 \mid (2+\sqrt{5})$

we can write if $b|a$ then $a = br$ according

$$\Rightarrow (2+\sqrt{5}) = 3(x+y\sqrt{5})$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = 3x \text{ & } 1 = 3y$$

$$x = \frac{2}{3} \text{ & } y = \frac{1}{3}$$

but here $x, y \notin \mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore 3 \nmid (2+\sqrt{5})$$

similarly $3 \nmid (2-\sqrt{5})$

$\therefore 3$ is not prime.

Theorem: In a PID, an element is irreducible iff it is prime

Proof: Let R be a PID and p be a prime element of R .

as R is an integral domain $\Rightarrow p$ is irreducible.
 { using theorem: Let R be an integral domain }
 { then every prime element of R is irreducible }

(write proof in exam)

Converse: Let $p \in R$ be irreducible
 $\Rightarrow p$ is non zero, non unit

Now let $a, b \in R$ s.t. $p \mid ab$

Suppose $p \nmid a$
 Consider $I = pR + aR$. { $pR = \{px ; x \in R\}$ }
 then I is an ideal of R . { Sum of 2 ideals is also an ideal }

but as R is PID $\Rightarrow I$ is principle ideal
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ some $c \in R$ s.t
 $I = cR$

$$\Rightarrow pR + aR = cR \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\text{as } p \cdot 1 + a \cdot 0 = p \in pR + aR$$

$$\Rightarrow p \in cR \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$\Rightarrow \beta = c \cdot d$ for some $d \in R$

but as β is irreducible so either c or d is a unit

Case 1 if c is a unit

$$\Rightarrow c^{-1} \text{ exist in } R$$

$$\Rightarrow cc^{-1} \in CR$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \in CR$$

$$\Rightarrow CR = R$$

{ if unity \in Ideal
then Ideal = Ring}

so from ①

$$\beta R + \alpha R = R$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \in \beta R + \alpha R$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \beta x + \alpha y \quad \text{for some } x, y \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow b = \beta bx + \alpha by$$

$$\text{Now } \beta \mid \beta \quad \beta \mid ab$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \mid \beta bx + \alpha by$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \mid b$$

Case 2

If d is unit

d' exist in R

$$f = cd$$

$$\Rightarrow f d' = c \quad \text{cancel}$$

$$\Rightarrow c \in fR$$

$$\Rightarrow fR \subseteq CR$$

from ② $\Rightarrow f \in CR$

$$f \Rightarrow fR \subseteq CR$$

$$\text{Hence } fR = CR$$

from ①

$$fR + aR = fR$$

$$f \cdot 0 + a \cdot 1 \in fR$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in fR$$

$$\Rightarrow a = fk \quad \text{for some } k \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow f/a$$

$\longrightarrow \longleftrightarrow \therefore d \text{ can't be unit.}$

Q Prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is not a P.I.D.

Sol In PID, an element is irreducible \Leftrightarrow prime element
 but as we did in before example
 $3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is irreducible but is not a prime
 element of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \therefore \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is not P.I.D.

U.F.D

Defⁿ

Let R be an integral domain with unity. Then R is called a unique factorization domain (UFD), if

- (i) Every non-zero, non unit element in R can be expressed as a finite product of irreducibles in R .
- (ii) If $a = f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = g_1 g_2 \dots g_m$ are two factorizations of ' a ' as a product of irreducible elements then $n=m$ and each $f_i = ug_j$ for some j and some $u \in U(R)$

~~eg~~

$$R = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{non units, non zero} = R \setminus \{0, 1, -1\}$$

$$10 = 2 \times 5$$

$$= -2 \times -5$$

$$\text{if we say } 10 = f_i g_j$$

$$\text{then } f_i = 1 \cdot 2 \text{ or } -1 \cdot 2 \quad \text{as } 1, -1 \in U(\mathbb{Z})$$

Theorem

If R is an integral domain with unity in which each non-zero, non unit element is a finite product of irreducible and every irreducible element is prime, then R is UFD.

Proof

To prove that R is UFD, we just need to show that factorization of any non-zero, non-unit element of R as a finite product of irreducible is unique upto associates.

Let a be a non-zero, non-unit element which has following factorization

$$a = f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = g_1 g_2 \dots g_m$$

if $n=1$

$$f_1 = g_1 g_2 \dots g_m$$

and as f_1 is irreducible

\Rightarrow either g_1 or $g_2 \dots g_m$ is a unit

\Rightarrow either g_1 or each g_j ; $j \geq 2$ is a unit

$\longrightarrow \longleftarrow$ as all g_i 's are irreducible

$\Rightarrow m > 1$ is not possible

$\Rightarrow m=1 \Rightarrow n=m$

$$\Rightarrow a = f_1 = g_1$$

Assume that the result is true for elements which can be written as product of $n-1$ irreducibles

$$\text{Now let } a = f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = g_1 g_2 \dots g_m$$

but as f_1 is irreducible $\Rightarrow f_1$ is prime

$$\text{as } f_1 \mid a \Rightarrow f_1 \mid g_i \text{ for some } i$$

w.l.o.g

$$f_1 | q_1$$

$$\Rightarrow q_1 = uf_1 \text{ for some } u \in R$$

but q_1 is irreducible

\Rightarrow either u or f_1 is unit

but as f_1 is not unit

$$\Rightarrow u \text{ is a unit} \Rightarrow u \in U(R)$$

$$\Rightarrow a = f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = u f_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_m$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1 f_2 \dots f_n - u f_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_m = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1 (f_2 f_3 \dots f_n - u q_2 q_3 \dots q_m) = 0$$

$$\text{but } f_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow (f_2 f_3 \dots f_n - u q_2 q_3 \dots q_m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_2 f_3 \dots f_n = u q_2 q_3 \dots q_m$$

$$\Rightarrow f_2 f_3 \dots f_n = q'_2 q'_3 \dots q'_m$$

by induction

$$n-1 := m-1$$

$$\Rightarrow n = m$$

for each $2 \leq i \leq n$

~~$$f_i = u_j q_j \text{ for some } u_j \in U(R)$$~~

$$2 \leq j \leq m$$

$$\text{also } f_i = u q_i$$

Hence factorization is unique upto associates
and hence R is UFD.

Theorem: In a UFD, an element is prime iff it is irreducible.

Proof: Let R be a UFD

and let $a \in R$ be prime

{ as UFD \Rightarrow Integral Domain }

also need to prove in exam

$\Rightarrow a$ is irreducible

Converse: Let $a \in R$ be irreducible

$\Rightarrow a$ is non-zero and non unit

let $a | bc$ for some $b, c \in R$

$bc = ak$ for some $k \in R$

If b is unit $\Rightarrow b^{-1}$ exist in R

$$\Rightarrow b^{-1}bc = b^{-1}ak$$

$$\Rightarrow c = b^{-1}ak = a(b^{-1}k)$$

$$\Rightarrow a | c$$

If c is unit $\Rightarrow c^{-1}$ exist in R ,

$$\Rightarrow bcc^{-1} = akc^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow b = a(kc^{-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow a | b$$

So assume that both b & c are non-units
if k is unit $\Rightarrow k^{-1}$ exist in R

$$\Rightarrow bck^{-1} = akk^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow bck^{-1} = a$$

$$\Rightarrow a = b(ck^{-1})$$

but a is irreducible

\Rightarrow either $b \in U(R)$ or $ck^{-1} \in U(R)$

but b is non units

$$\Rightarrow ck^{-1} \in U(R)$$

$$\Rightarrow ck^{-1}k \in U(R)$$

$[\because k \in U(R)]$

$$\Rightarrow c \in U(R)$$



if b & c are non units, k is also a non unit

so $bc = ak$



as b, c, k are non-zero, non-units elements of R ; which is a UFD

so

$$b = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$$

$$c = q_1 q_2 \dots q_m$$

$$k = r_1 r_2 \dots r_t$$

where p_i 's, q_j 's, r_k 's are irreducible elements.

so from *

$$\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_n q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_t = x \text{ (say)}$$

as R is UFD

$$\Rightarrow n+m = t+t \quad \text{if } a = u\beta_i^0 \text{ or } a = uq_j^0$$

for some $u \in U(R)$

$$\Rightarrow u^{-1}a = \beta_i^0 \quad \text{or } u^{-1}a = q_j^0$$

$$\Rightarrow a \mid \beta_i^0 \quad \text{or } a \mid q_j^0$$

$$\Rightarrow a \mid \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_n \quad \text{or } a \mid q_1 q_2 \cdots q_m$$

$$\Rightarrow a/b \quad \text{or } a/c$$

While defining Content we take $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ but not in some general $R[x]$

because in \mathbb{Z} , $\gcd(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ always exist but in any general ring we are not sure gcd exist or not

for ex Take Ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$

$$\text{Take } 4, 2(1+\sqrt{-3}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(4, 2(1+\sqrt{-3})) &= 2 \gcd(2, 1+\sqrt{-3}) \\ &= 2 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{as } 1+\sqrt{-3} \text{ is irreducible} \\ \text{let } (1+\sqrt{-3}) = (x+iy\sqrt{-3}) \\ \text{take conjugate } (1-\sqrt{-3}) = (x-iy\sqrt{-3})(a-ib\sqrt{-3}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Also } 4 = (1+\sqrt{-3})(1-\sqrt{-3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow 4 = (x^2 + y^2)(a^2 + b^2) \\ &\Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 = 2 = a^2 + b^2 \\ &\text{or } x^2 + y^2 = 4 \quad a^2 + b^2 = 1 \text{ if vice versa} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gcd(4, 2(1+\sqrt{-3})) = \gcd((1+\sqrt{-3})(1-\sqrt{-3}), 2(1+\sqrt{-3}))$$

$$= (1+\sqrt{-3}) \gcd(2(1-\sqrt{-3}), 2)$$

$$= (1+\sqrt{-3})$$

DATE / 20
PAGE NO.

as $\gcd(4, 2(1+\sqrt{-3}))$ is not unique.
from ① & ② $\therefore \gcd$ doesn't exist
of $(4, 2(1+\sqrt{-3}))$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$.

Theorem

If a UFD, any two non zero elements have g.c.d.

Proof : Let R be a UFD and a, b be any 2 nonzero elements in R .

If a is unit
 $\Rightarrow a^{-1}$ exists in R
 $\therefore b = baa^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \gcd(a, b) &= \gcd(a, baa^{-1}) \\ &= \gcd(a, aba^{-1}) \quad \left\{ \because R \text{ is commutative} \right. \\ &= a \gcd(1, ba^{-1}) \\ &= a\end{aligned}$$

Similarly if b is unit $\gcd(a, b) = b$

If a, b are non units
 \therefore as R is UFD

$$a = p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \dots p_n^{d_n} \quad \text{where } d_i \geq 0$$

$$b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n} \quad d_i \geq 0 \quad \& \quad b_j \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5 \\ 50 = 2 \times 5 \times 5 = 2^1 \times 3^0 \times 5^2 \end{array} \right\}$$

Let $\gamma_i^o = \min\{\alpha_i^o, \beta_i^o\} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$

then

claim $d = \beta_1^{\gamma_1^o} \beta_2^{\gamma_2^o} \cdots \beta_n^{\gamma_n^o}$ is gcd of a, b

Now

$$a = d \beta_1^{\alpha_1 - \gamma_1^o} \beta_2^{\alpha_2 - \gamma_2^o} \cdots \beta_n^{\alpha_n - \gamma_n^o}$$

clearly $\alpha_i - \gamma_i^o \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow d | a$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d = \gcd(a, b) \text{ if} \\ \text{① } d | a \text{ and } d | b \\ \text{② if } c \in R \text{ s.t} \\ \quad c | a \text{ & } c | b \\ \text{then } c | d \end{array} \right.$$

Similarly $d | b$

Now let $c \in R$ s.t $c | a$ & $c | b$

T.P

$$c | d$$

If c is unit, then c^{-1} exist in R

$$\Rightarrow d = c c^{-1} d$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{c | d}$$

If c is non-unit then as $c | a$ & $c | b$

$$\Rightarrow c = \beta_1^{\gamma_1^o} \beta_2^{\gamma_2^o} \cdots \beta_n^{\gamma_n^o}$$

$$\text{as } c | a \Rightarrow \gamma_i^o \leq \alpha_i^o \quad \forall i$$

$$\text{as } c | b \Rightarrow \gamma_i^o \leq \beta_i^o \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_i^o \leq \min\{\alpha_i^o, \beta_i^o\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_i^o \leq \gamma_i^e$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_i^o \leq \gamma_i^e \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_i^{x_i^o} = \beta_i^{x_i^e} \cdot \beta_i^{x_i^e - x_i^o} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_i^{x_i^o} \mid \beta_i^{x_i^e} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1^{x_1^o} \beta_2^{x_2^o} \cdots \beta_n^{x_n^o} \mid \beta_1^{m_1} \beta_2^{m_2} \cdots \beta_n^{m_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow c \mid d$$

Hence d is gcd

Theorem: Every PID is a UFD

Proof: Let R be a PID. Then R is integral domain with unity and each irreducible element of R is prime.

To prove that R is UFD, we just need to prove that each non-zero, non unit element of R can be written as finite product of irreducible elements of R .

For this we will show that a PID cannot have an infinite ascending chain of ideals

$$12\mathbb{Z} \subseteq 6\mathbb{Z} \subseteq 3\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$$

$$3\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 6\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 12\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 24\mathbb{Z} \supseteq 48\mathbb{Z}$$

ascending chain

descending chain

DATE: / /

PAGE No.

i.e. chain $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \dots$ of ideals stop after finitely many steps.

as R is P.I.D., $I_k = a_k R$ for some $a_k \in R$

\Rightarrow chain is

$$a_1 R \subseteq a_2 R \subseteq a_3 R \subseteq \dots$$

Consider $\bigcup a_i R = A$

claim A is ideal of R

clearly $A \neq \emptyset$ as $a_1 R \subseteq A \neq \emptyset$ & $a_1 R \neq \emptyset$

let $x, y \in A$
then

$x \in a_i R$ for some i

$y \in a_j R$ for some j

w.l.o.g let $i < j$ $\because a_i R \subseteq a_j R \subseteq \dots$

$\therefore a_i R \subseteq a_j R$

$\Rightarrow x \in a_j R$

$\Rightarrow x - y \in a_j R$

$\Rightarrow x - y \in A$

($\because x, y \in a_j R$

& $a_j R$ is ideal)

let $x \in A$ and $r \in R$

$x \in a_i R$ for some i

$\Rightarrow xr \in a_i R$ $\{ \because a_i R \text{ is ideal} \}$

for some i

$\Rightarrow x_r, rx \in U_{a_i}R$

$\Rightarrow x_r, rx \in A$

Hence $A \cong R$

$A = U_{a_i}R$ is an ideal of R and R is PID

$\Rightarrow A = aR$ for some $a \in R$

$$\Rightarrow U_{a_i}R = aR$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\text{each } a_iR \subseteq aR}$$

Also $a \in aR$ {as $U_{a_i}R = aR$ }

$$\Rightarrow a \in U_{a_i}R$$

$\Rightarrow a \in a_kR$ for some k

$\Rightarrow \underline{aR \subseteq a_kR}$ for some k

So $a_kR \subseteq aR \subseteq a_kR$

$$\Rightarrow a_kR = aR = \underline{U_{a_i}R}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_kR \subseteq a_{k+i}R \subseteq aR \quad \text{for } i \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a_kR = aR = a_{k+i}R \quad \forall i \geq 1$$

Now let $a \in R$ be a non-zero, non-unit element

g.p a can be written as a finite product of irreducible elements.

If a is irreducible, then nothing to prove

So assume that a is reducible.

$$\Rightarrow a = bc \quad ; \quad b, c \in R \text{ and both } b, c \text{ are non-units}$$

If both b and c are finite product of irreducibles then a is also finite product of irreducibles.

So, assume that atleast one of b & c ; (say) b is not finite product of irreducibles.

$$\Rightarrow b = xy \quad \text{where } x \text{ cannot be written as a finite product of irreducibles}$$

and we can continue this process infinitely many times so that we have

$$\text{as } a = bc \Rightarrow a \in bR \Rightarrow aR \subseteq bR$$

and

$$b = xy \Rightarrow b \in xR \Rightarrow bR \subseteq xR$$

and so on

i.e $aR \subseteq bR \subseteq xR \subseteq \dots \dots$ is an infinite chain of ideals of R , which doesn't terminate. But R is a PID, this chain must stop after finitely many step.

\Rightarrow Our assumption that b is not a finite product

of irreducible elements is false.

\Rightarrow both b & c are finite product of irreducibles

$\Rightarrow a$ is also a finite product of irreducibles

Converse: May not be true

We need to provide example of a UFD which is not a PID

(1) $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is non PID but $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD

(2) Let F - field

$$R = F[x] \text{ — PID}$$

$\Rightarrow R$ is UFD also

Result: Now if R is UFD then $R[y]$ is UFD

$\Rightarrow R[y]$ is UFD

$$\Rightarrow x = gf$$

$$y = hf$$

$\Rightarrow F[x][y]$ is UFD

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x-y}{g} = 0$$

$F[x][y] = F[x,y]$ is UFD

$$\Rightarrow hx = gy$$

Consider $I = nR + yR$

~~$x \in I \Rightarrow x \in nR + yR$~~

claim I is not PI

Let $I = \langle f(x,y) \rangle$

as $x \in I \Rightarrow x = g(z)y f(x,y)$

as $y \in I \Rightarrow y = h(x,y) f(x,y)$

as x & y are 2 variables
they can't satisfy such relation

$\therefore I$ is not principle ideal

Thm

If R is UFD, then $R[x]$ is also UFD

\mathbb{Z} -PID
 $\mathbb{Z}[x] \neq$ PID

\mathbb{F} -Field
 $\mathbb{F}[x] \neq$ field

DATE: / /
PAGE No.

Euclidean Domains (ED's)

Dfⁿ: A commutative integral Domain R with unity is called Euclidean Domain (ED) if \exists a function

$\phi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following axioms

(i) $a, b \in R^* = R - \{0\}$ and $b|a$ then $\phi(b) \leq \phi(a)$

(ii) for each pair of elements $a, b \in R$, $b \neq 0$, \exists elements $q, r \in R$ s.t $a = bq + r$ where $r=0$ or $\phi(r) < \phi(b)$

Euclidean algorithm

Example:

$$R = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

 $\phi(a) = |a|$

if we take
 $\phi(a) = a$
then $b|a \Leftrightarrow b < a$
as $2|-2$ but $2 \nmid -2$

① $b|a$, then $|b| \leq |a| \Rightarrow \phi(b) \leq \phi(a)$

② Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \neq 0$
then by division algorithm

$$a = bq + r \quad \text{where } 0 \leq r < |b|$$

 $\Rightarrow 0 \leq |r| < |b|$

$$\Rightarrow a = bq + r \quad \text{where either } r=0$$

 $\text{or } \phi(r) < \phi(b)$

Example-2 $f[x]$ where f is field

Define $\phi: f[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
 $\phi(f(x)) = \deg(f(x))$

(i) Let $b \in R^*$, b/a then $\phi(b) \leq \phi(a)$

Let $f(x), g(x) \in f[x]$

s.t $g(x) | f(x)$

$\Rightarrow f(x) = h(x) \cdot g(x)$ for some $h(x) \in f[x]$

$\Rightarrow \deg(f(x)) = \deg(h(x) \cdot g(x))$

$$= \deg(h(x)) \cdot \deg(g(x))$$

$\Rightarrow \deg(f(x)) \geq \deg(g(x))$

$\Rightarrow \phi(f(x)) \geq \phi(g(x))$

(ii) Let $f(x), g(x) \in f[x]$ and $g(x) \neq 0$

by division algorithm

$$f(x) = g(x) \cdot q(x) + r(x)$$

where either $r(x) = 0$ or
 $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x))$

$\Rightarrow f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x) + r(x)$ where either
 $h(x) = 0$ or $\phi(f(x)) < \phi(g(x))$

$\therefore f[x]$ is Euclidean Domain

Example - 3 $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ - Ring of Gaussian integers

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a+bi ; a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

* Prove $(\mathbb{Z}[i], +, \times)$ is Ring & Integral Domain

proof
for integral
domain

$$(a+bi)(c+di) = 0$$

Taking Conjugate

$$(a-bi)(c-di) = 0$$

$$(a^2+b^2)(c^2+d^2) = 0$$

as $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow (a^2+b^2) \in \mathbb{Z}$

as \mathbb{Z} is integral domain

$$\Rightarrow a^2+b^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad c^2+d^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a=0 \& b=0 \quad \text{or} \quad c=0 \& d=0$$

Now define $\mathbb{Z}[i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
 $\phi(a+bi) = a^2+b^2$

(i) $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \quad y \neq 0 \& y \mid x \text{ then } \phi(y) \leq \phi(x)$

$$\text{Let } x = a+bi$$

and $y = m+ni$

as $y|x \Rightarrow x=yz$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$

let $z = c+di$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\phi(x) = \phi(a+bi) = a^2+b^2$$

Also

$$\phi(x) = \phi(yz) = \phi((mc-nd)+i(md+nc))$$

$$= (mc-nd)^2 + (md+nc)^2$$

$$= m^2c^2 + n^2d^2 - 2mndc + m^2d^2 + n^2c^2 + 2mdnc$$

$$= m^2(c^2+d^2) + n^2(c^2+d^2)$$

$$= (m^2+n^2)(c^2+d^2)$$

$$= \phi(y)\phi(z) \geq \phi(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) \geq \phi(y)$$

(ii) for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, $y \neq 0 \exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ s.t
 $x = qy + r$ where either $r=0$ or $\phi(r) < \phi(y)$

$$\text{let } x = a+bi$$

$$y = m+ni$$

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{a+bi}{m+ni}$$

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{(a+bi)}{(m+ni)} \cdot \frac{(m-ni)}{(m-ni)}$$

$$= \frac{(am+bn) + i(bm-an)}{m^2+n^2}$$

$$= \alpha + \beta i \quad \text{where } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$$

we can always find integers α_0, β_0 s.t

$$|\alpha - \alpha_0| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta - \beta_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y(\alpha + \beta i)$$

$$x = y(\alpha - \alpha_0 + \alpha_0 + (\beta - \beta_0 + \beta_0)i)$$

$$x = y\underbrace{(\alpha_0 + \beta_0 i)}_q + y[(\alpha - \alpha_0) + (\beta - \beta_0)i]$$

$$\text{Here } y[(\alpha - \alpha_0) + (\beta - \beta_0)i] \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\therefore x = y[(\alpha - \alpha_0) + (\beta - \beta_0)i] + q \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$$

$$\therefore x = yq + r \quad \text{where } q = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 i$$

$$r = y[(\alpha - \alpha_0) + (\beta - \beta_0)i]$$

If $r=0$; then nothing to prove

If $r \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \phi(y((\alpha-\alpha_0) + (\beta-\beta_0)i)) \\ &= \sqrt{y^2((\alpha-\alpha_0)^2 + (\beta-\beta_0)^2)} \\ &\quad \text{for } x = yz \\ \therefore \left\{ \text{using } \phi(xy) = \phi(yz) = \phi(y)\phi(z) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \phi(y)\phi((\alpha-\alpha_0) + (\beta-\beta_0)i) \\ &= \phi(y)[(\alpha-\alpha_0)^2 + (\beta-\beta_0)^2] \\ &\leq \phi(y) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \right] \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\alpha-\alpha_0| \leq 1/2 \\ (\alpha-\alpha_0)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \end{array} \right. \\ &= \phi(y) \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\phi(y)}{2}$$

$$< \phi(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x) < \phi(y)$$

Theorem: Every ED is a PID

Proof: Let R be a E.D. Then R is commutative integral Domain with unity

I.P R is PID we just need to show that every ideal of

R is a principle ideal.

Let I be a non zero ideal of R .

$\Rightarrow \exists$ some $a \in I$ s.t. $a \neq 0$
 also $1|a \Rightarrow \phi(a) \geq \phi(1)$ {property of E.D}

Construct $S = \{\phi(a); a \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$

{as $\phi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \phi(a) \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge a \in I \subseteq R$
 $\therefore S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ }

and $\phi(1)$ is lower bound of S {as $\phi(a) \geq \phi(1) \forall a \in I$ }

\therefore by well ordering principle

S has a least element (say) $\phi(d)$ where $d \in I$

Claim

$$I = dR$$

Let $b \in I$

$b = dq + r$ where either $r=0$ or $\phi(r) < \phi(d)$
 {using 2nd property of Euclidean Domain}

$$r = b - dq$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b \in I \quad d \in I \quad q \in R \\ \Rightarrow dq \in I \\ \Rightarrow b - dq \in I \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow r \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(r) \geq \phi(d) \quad \left\{ \because \phi(d) \text{ is least element} \right\}$$

$$\text{Now as } \phi(r) \neq \phi(d) \quad \therefore r=0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = dq$$

$$\Rightarrow b \in dR$$

$$\text{Hence } I = dR$$

$\Rightarrow R$ is a PID

Q
sol

Is every PID, a ED also?

No,

eg $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-19}]$

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-19}] = \left\{ a + b\sqrt{-19} ; a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ if } a, b \text{ are of same parity} \right\}$$

either both even or both odd

Thm: Every field is a ED

Define $\phi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

$$\phi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; a \neq 0 \\ 0 & ; a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Field \rightarrow E.D \rightarrow P.ID \rightarrow UFD

DATE: / /
PAGE NO.

Lemma: Let f be a primitive polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and let g be any polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Suppose f divides g in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ say $g = qf$ with $q \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and hence f divides g in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof: We can write $q = \frac{a}{b} q_0$ where $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a primitive polynomial

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } q = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{5}x \\ \quad = \frac{10 + 12x}{15} \\ \quad = \frac{2}{15}(5 + 6x) \\ \quad = \frac{a}{b} q_0 \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\ \text{also } \gcd(5, 6) = 1 \end{array}$$

as $g = fq$

$$\Rightarrow g = f \cdot \frac{a}{b} q_0$$

$$\Rightarrow bg = afq_0$$

Taking content on both sides

$$\Rightarrow b \cdot c(g) = a \cdot c(fq_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow b \cdot c(g) = a$$

{ by Gauss lemma
product of 2 primitive
is primitive}

$$\Rightarrow b|a$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} \text{ is integer}$$

and $g(x) = \frac{a}{b} q_0(x)$ where $q_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$= \text{integer} \times q_0(x)$$

$$\in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

Theorem $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD

Proof As \mathbb{Z} is commutative Integral Domain with unity $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is also commutative integral domain with unity.

Claim Every Non zero, non unit element of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ can be

written as a finite product of irreducibles element of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be non zero non unit element

We prove it by PMI on $\deg f(x)$

$$\rightarrow \text{If } \deg f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

as \mathbb{Z} is UFD, 'a' can be written as finite product of irreducible elements of $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\rightarrow \text{If } \deg f(x) = 1$$

then $f(x) = cf_0(x)$, where $f_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive which is again a finite product of irreducibles as $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is irreducible.

\rightarrow Now assume that the result is true for polynomials whose degree is less than n

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Let } \deg f(x) = n. \text{ Also we can assume } f(x) \text{ is primitive} \\ \text{otherwise } f(x) = cf_0(x) \text{ where } c \in \mathbb{Z}, f_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \text{ } f \text{ is primitive} \\ \text{If } f(x) \text{ is irreducible, then nothing to prove} \end{array} \right.$

so assume $f(x)$ is reducible

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x), \text{ where } \deg f_1(x) = n_1, \deg f_2(x) = n_2$$

$$\text{and } 1 \leq n_1 < n \\ 1 \leq n_2 < n$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{as } f_1(x), f_2(x) \text{ can't} \\ \text{be units/ constants} \end{array} \right.$

by induction hypothesis

both $f_1(x)$ & $f_2(x)$ can be written as finite product of irreducible elements of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and hence $f(x)$ is ~~also~~ finite product of irreducibles written as

T.F Every irreducible element of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a prime element

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is irreducible element and $f(x) | g(x) \cdot h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Case 1 if $\deg f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = a \in \mathbb{Z}$

now $g(x) = c g_0(x)$ where $c = c(g(x))$
 $\therefore f g_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive polynomial

$h(x) = d h_0(x)$ where $d = c(h(x))$ & $h_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$
is primitive polynomial

now $f(x) | g(x) \cdot h(x) \Rightarrow a | cd g_0(x) h_0(x)$

also $a \nmid g_0(x)$ & $a \nmid h_0(x)$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because a \text{ is non-unit being irreducible} \\ \text{and } g_0(x) \text{ & } h_0(x) \text{ are primitive} \end{array} \right\}$

$\Rightarrow a | cd$ here $a, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow a | c$ or $a | d$

{ because every irreducible element of \mathbb{Z} is prime }

$$\Rightarrow a | cg_0(x) \text{ or } a | dh_0(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow a | g(x) \text{ or } a | h(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) | g(x) \text{ or } f(x) | h(x)$$

Case 2 if $\deg f(x) \geq 1$

as $f(x)$ is irreducible

$\Rightarrow f(x)$ is primitive

as $f(x)$ is irreducible &
primitive polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

$\Rightarrow f(x)$ is irreducible, primitive
polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \therefore \text{otherwise we can write} \\ f(x) = c f_0(x) \text{ here } c = c(f(x)) \\ \text{if } f_0(x) \text{ is primitive} \\ \text{where } \deg f_0(x) = \deg f(x) \\ \text{and } c(f) \notin U(\mathbb{Z}) \\ f(x) = c(f) f_0(x) \\ \text{both monunits} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\quad} \xleftarrow{\quad} \text{as } f(x) \text{ is irreducible}$

$\therefore f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is an irreducible element
but $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a PID $\left[\because \mathbb{F}[x] \text{ is a PID, if } \mathbb{F} \text{ is a field} \right]$

$\Rightarrow f(x)$ is a prime element in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

{ \because in PID, every irreducible element is prime }

so if $f(x) | g(x) h(x) \Rightarrow f(x) | g(x) \text{ or } f(x) | h(x)$
in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

$\Rightarrow f(x) | g(x)$ or $f(x) | h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

{ by Lemma }

Hence $f(x)$ is a prime element in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

so $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD

Unit-3

Linear transformation : Let $V \neq W$ be 2 vector spaces over a field f then $T: V \rightarrow W$ is called linear transformation if

- ① $T(v_1 + v_2) = T(v_1) + T(v_2)$ $\forall v_1, v_2 \in V$
- ② $T(\alpha v) = \alpha T(v)$ $\forall \alpha \in f \quad \forall v \in V$

Or

$$T(\alpha v_1 + v_2) = \alpha T(v_1) + T(v_2) \quad \forall \alpha \in f; v_1, v_2 \in V$$

* Suppose T_1, T_2 are 2 linear transformations from $V \rightarrow W$ then $(T_1 + T_2)(x) = T_1(x) + T_2(x)$ is also a linear transformation

Let $\alpha \in f \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 + T_2)(\alpha v_1 + v_2) &= T_1(\alpha v_1 + v_2) + T_2(\alpha v_1 + v_2) \\ &= \alpha T_1(v_1) + T_1(v_2) + \alpha T_2(v_1) + T_2(v_2) \\ &= \alpha(T_1(v_1) + T_2(v_1)) + T_1(v_2) + T_2(v_2) \\ &= \alpha(T_1 + T_2)(v_1) + (T_1 + T_2)(v_2) \end{aligned}$$

* Let $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$

$$\{T: V \rightarrow W, T \text{ is linear transf}\} = \text{Hom}(V, W)$$

$$(T_1 + T_2) + T_3 = T_1 + (T_2 + T_3)$$

Q.E.D

$$\begin{aligned} ((T_1 + T_2) + T_3)(v) &= (T_1 + T_2)(v) + T_3(v) \\ &= T_1(v) + T_2(v) + T_3(v) \\ &= T_1(v) + (T_2 + T_3)(v) \\ &= (T_1 + (T_2 + T_3))(v) \end{aligned}$$

* Does \exists any L.T $T': V \rightarrow W$ s.t

$$T + T' = T = T' + T \quad \forall T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$$

T.P. $(T + T')(v) = T(v) \quad \forall v \in V$

$$T(v) + T'(v) = T(v)$$

$$T'(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

$\Rightarrow T': V \rightarrow W$ is defined by

$$T'(v) = 0$$

which is a linear transformation

\Rightarrow ~~T' is identity of $\text{Hom}(V, W)$~~ T' is identity of $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ under addition.

* Let $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$

then $\begin{aligned} -T(\alpha v_1 + v_2) &= -(\alpha T(v_1) + T(v_2)) \\ &= -\alpha T(v_1) - T(v_2) \\ &= \alpha(-T)(v_1) + (-T)(v_2) \\ \Rightarrow -T &\in \text{Hom}(V, W) \end{aligned}$

and $T(v) + (-T)(v) = T(v) - T(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$

$\therefore -T$ is inverse of T

* Let $T_1, T_2 \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 + T_2)(v) &= T_1(v) + T_2(v) \\ &= T_2(v) + T_1(v) \\ &= (T_2 + T_1)(v) \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1(v), T_2(v) \in W \\ \because W \text{ is vector space} \\ \therefore \text{abelian group under addition} \end{array} \right.$$

$\therefore X = (\text{Hom}(V, W), +)$ is an abelian group

Define $X \times F \rightarrow X$

$$T \cdot \alpha = \alpha T$$

where $\alpha T(v) = \alpha(T(v))$

Now is $\alpha T \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha T)(\beta v_1 + v_2) &= \alpha(T(\beta v_1 + v_2)) \\ &= \alpha(\beta T(v_1) + T(v_2)) \\ &= \alpha \beta T(v_1) + \alpha T(v_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \beta \alpha T(v_1) + \alpha T(v_2)$$

$$= \beta(\alpha T)(v_1) + (\alpha T)(v_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha T \in X$$

* Now $(\alpha + \beta)T = \alpha T + \beta T \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\alpha + \beta)T)(v) &= (\alpha + \beta)T(v) \\ &= \alpha T(v) + \beta T(v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{as } \alpha, \beta \in F \\ \text{if } T(v) \in W \\ \text{if } W \text{ is vector space} \end{array} \right\} \\ \Rightarrow (\alpha + \beta)w = \alpha w + \beta w \\ \quad w \in W \end{array} \right\} = \alpha T(v) + \beta T(v) = \alpha T + \beta T$$

* $(\alpha \cdot \beta)T = \alpha(\beta \cdot T) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \cdot \beta)T &= ((\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot T)(v) \\ &= (\alpha \cdot \beta)T(v) \\ &= \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot T(v) \\ &= \alpha(\beta T(v)) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{as } T(v) \in W \\ W \text{ is vector space} \\ \therefore \alpha, \beta \in F \quad w \in W \\ (\alpha \beta)w = \alpha(\beta w) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \alpha(\beta T)$$

* ? $1 \cdot T = T$

as $1 \in F$

$$I \cdot T = I \cdot T(v) = I(T(v)) \\ = T(v) = T$$

$\therefore (\text{Hom}(V, W), +, \times)$ is a Vector space over F

Linear functional

Let V be a vector space over F . Then any linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow F$ is called a linear functional
 \downarrow vector space $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{every field is vector} \\ \text{space over itself} \end{array} \right\}$

eg $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$T(a, b) = a + b$
is linear functional

$T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is not functional as
 $T(a, b) = (b, a)$. codomain should be \mathbb{R}
for it to be functional

for linear check

$$T(av_1 + v_2)$$

$V^* = \text{Hom}(V, F)$ is a vector space
 \downarrow linear functional collection

Dual space of V

* Every linear functional is L.T but converse may not be true

Let V_F be vector space
Then $V^* = \text{Hom}(V_F, F)$ is again a vector space
over F

Theorem.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space of dimension n . And its basis is $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$
then V^* is also a vector space with dimension n
& basis is $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$ defined by

$$\phi_i: V \rightarrow F$$

$$\phi_i(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n) = \alpha_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Proof firstly we show that each ϕ_i is well defined

$$\text{Let } v, w \in V \text{ s.t. } v=w$$

$$\text{now } v = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n \quad \forall \alpha_i \in F$$

$$w = \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \dots + \beta_n v_n \quad \forall \beta_i \in F$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n = \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \dots + \beta_n v_n$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha_1 - \beta_1)v_1 + (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)v_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n)v_n = 0$$

but as $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent
as it basis of V

$$\therefore \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = \beta_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$= \phi_i^*(v) = \phi_i^*(w) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Hence each ϕ_i^* is well defined

$$\phi_i^*(\alpha v + w) = \phi_i^*(\alpha(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n) + \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \dots + \beta_n v_n)$$

$$= \phi_i^*(\alpha \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha \alpha_3 v_3 + \dots + \alpha \alpha_n v_n + \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \dots + \beta_n v_n)$$

$$= \phi_i^*((\alpha \alpha_i + \beta_1) v_1 + (\alpha \alpha_2 + \beta_2) v_2 + \dots + (\alpha \alpha_n + \beta_n) v_n)$$

$$= \alpha \phi_i^* + \beta_i^*$$

$$= -\alpha \phi_i^*(v) + \phi_i^*(w) = \alpha \phi_i^*(v) + \phi_i^*(w)$$

$\therefore \phi$ is linear functional

$$\Rightarrow \phi_i^* \in V^*$$

claim $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$ is linearly independent over F

$$\text{clearly } \phi_i^*(v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in F$ s.t

$$\beta_1 \phi_1 + \beta_2 \phi_2 + \dots + \beta_n \phi_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\beta_1\phi_1 + \beta_2\phi_2 + \dots + \beta_n\phi_n)(v_i^o) = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1\phi_1(v_i^o) + \beta_2\phi_2(v_i^o) + \dots + \beta_n\phi_n(v_i^o) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_i^o = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Hence $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$ is linear independent over F

claim

$$\text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\} = V^*$$

Let $f \in V^*$

$\Rightarrow f: V \rightarrow F$ is a linear functional

Let $f(v_i^o) = a_i^o$ for some $a_i^o \in F$

claim

\exists some scalars $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ s.t
 $\gamma_1\phi_1 + \gamma_2\phi_2 + \dots + \gamma_n\phi_n = f$

i.e \exists some scalars $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ s.t

$$(\gamma_1\phi_1 + \gamma_2\phi_2 + \dots + \gamma_n\phi_n)(v_i^o) = f(v_i^o) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1\phi_1(v_i^o) + \gamma_2\phi_2(v_i^o) + \dots + \gamma_n\phi_n(v_i^o) = a_i^o \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_i^o = a_i^o \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

\Rightarrow we can take $a_1\phi_1 + a_2\phi_2 + \dots + a_n\phi_n \in \text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$

$$\text{s.t } a_1\phi_1 + a_2\phi_2 + \dots + a_n\phi_n = f$$

Q) Let $B = \{(2,1), (3,1)\}$ be basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , find basis of \mathbb{R}^{2*}

Let $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ be basis of \mathbb{R}^{2*}

$$\phi_1(v_1) = 1 \quad \phi_1(v_2) = 0$$

$$\phi_2(v_1) = 0 \quad \phi_2(v_2) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(2,1) = 1 \quad \phi_1(3,1) = 0$$

$$\phi_2(2,1) = 0 \quad \phi_2(3,1) = 1$$

$$\phi_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow F$$

$$\phi_1(2,1) \in F$$

$$\phi_1(x_1, x_2) = [a \ b] \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = ax_1 + bx_2 \quad (\text{by some theorem of Linear alg})$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(2,1) = 1$$

$$\phi_1(3,1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a+b=1$$

$$\Rightarrow 3a+b=0$$

$$\phi_2(3,1) = 1$$

$$\phi_2(2,1) = 0$$

$$3c+d=1$$

$$2c+d=0$$

solving $\begin{cases} a=-1 & b=3 \\ c=1 & d=-2 \end{cases}$

as $\boxed{\phi_1(x,y) = ax+by}$

$$\therefore \phi_1(x,y) = -x+3y$$

$\boxed{\phi_2(x,y) = cx+dy}$

$$\phi_2(x,y) = x-2y$$

$\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is basis of \mathbb{R}^2 if it is called

dual basis of to given basis

~~Corollary~~

Let V be a finite dimensional space over \mathbb{R}
Let $(0 \neq) v \in V$. Then $\exists f \in V^*$ s.t. $f(v) \neq 0$

as $v \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \{v\}$ is a linearly independent set

$\Rightarrow \{B\}$ can be extended to a basis of V

Let claim $\dim V = n$ and

$\{v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be basis of V

let $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be basis of V^* dual to basis B of V

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(v_1) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(v_i) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(v) \neq 0$$

Theorem: Let V be a finite dimensional space over F
define $\theta: V \rightarrow V^{**}$ by
 $\theta(v) = T_v \quad \forall v \in V$

where $T_v: V^* \rightarrow F$ s.t

$$T_v(f) = f(v) \quad \forall f \in V^*$$

Then θ is an isomorphism from V to V^{**}

Proof: claim $T_v \in V^{**} = \text{Hom}(V^*, F)$
 i.e. T_v is a linear transformation from V^* to F

i.e. ① $T_v(f+g) = T_v(f) + T_v(g) \quad \forall f, g \in V^*$

② $T_v(\alpha f) = \alpha T_v(f) \quad f \in V^*, \alpha \in F$

$$T_{\mathbf{v}}(f+g) = (f+g)(\mathbf{v}) \quad \left\{ \text{by def' of } T_{\mathbf{v}} \right\}$$

$$= f(\mathbf{v}) + g(\mathbf{v})$$

$$= T_{\mathbf{v}}(f) + T_{\mathbf{v}}(g)$$

$\because f$ is itself linear transformation

$$T_{\mathbf{v}}(\alpha f) = (\alpha f)(\mathbf{v})$$

$$= \alpha(f(\mathbf{v}))$$

$$= \alpha T_{\mathbf{v}}(f)$$

$\therefore T_{\mathbf{v}}$ is linear transformation from $V^* \rightarrow F$
 so $T_{\mathbf{v}} \in V^{**}$

Θ is well defined

Let $v_1, v_2 \in V$ s.t

$$v_1 = v_2$$

T.P $\Theta(v_1) = \Theta(v_2)$

l.e. $T_{\mathbf{v}_1} = T_{\mathbf{v}_2}$

$$T_{\mathbf{v}_1}(f) = T_{\mathbf{v}_2}(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in V^*$$

i.e. $f(v_1) = f(v_2)$

(which is true as f is well defined
 (as $f \in V^*$))

$\therefore \Theta$ is well defined

claim θ is a linear transformation

To show

$$\theta(v_1 + v_2) = \theta(v_1) + \theta(v_2)$$

$\forall v_1, v_2 \in V$

$$\theta(\alpha v) = \alpha \theta(v)$$

$\forall v \in V \ \& \ \alpha \in F$

$$\theta(v_1 + v_2) = T_{v_1+v_2}$$

$$T_{v_1+v_2}(f) = f(v_1 + v_2)$$

{ f is linear transf.}

$$= f(v_1) + f(v_2)$$

$$= T_{v_1}(f) + T_{v_2}(f)$$

$$= (T_{v_1} + T_{v_2})(f)$$

$$= \theta(v_1) + \theta(v_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{v_1+v_2} = T_{v_1} + T_{v_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta(v_1 + v_2) = \theta(v_1) + \theta(v_2)$$

$$\theta(\alpha v) = T_{\alpha v}$$

$$T_{\alpha v}(f) = f(\alpha v)$$

$$= \alpha f(v)$$

{ f is linear transf.}

$$= \alpha T_v(f)$$

$$= \alpha T_{v_1}(f)$$

$$\therefore T_{\alpha v} = \alpha T_v$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta(\alpha v) = \alpha \theta(v)$$

One-One

Let $v \in \text{Ker } \theta$

$$\Rightarrow \theta(v) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T_v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T_v(f) = 0 \quad + f \in V^*$$

$$= f(v) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v = 0$$

{ by previous corollary }

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ker } \theta = \{0\}$$

$\therefore \theta$ is 1-1

As Dimension of $V = V^* = V^{**}$

$\therefore \text{dimension } V = \dim V^{**}$

and θ is 1-1, so θ is onto

(by rank nullity theorem)

Annihilators

Defⁿ: Let W be a ^{nonempty} subset of a vector space V . A linear function $\phi \in V^*$ is called an annihilator of W if

$$\phi(w) = 0 \quad + w \in W$$

$$\text{Ann}(W) = \{ \phi \in V^* ; \phi(w) = 0 \quad + w \in W \}$$

eg $w = \{0\} \subseteq V^*$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ann}(w) &= \{\phi \in V^* ; \phi(w) = 0 \vee w \in W\} \\ &= \{\phi \in V^* ; \phi(0) = 0\} \\ &= V^*\end{aligned}$$

if $w = \{v(10)\}$

$$W^0 = \text{Ann}(w) \subseteq V^*$$

w^0 = Annihilator of w

Q

$$V = \mathbb{R}^2 \quad w = \{(1,1), (2,2)\}$$

find $\text{Ann}(w)$

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\phi(x, y) = ax + by$$

$$\phi(1,1) = a+b = 0$$

$$\phi(2,2) = 2a+2b = 0$$

Result Let W be a non empty subset of a vector space V . Then $\text{Ann}(W)$ is a subspace of V^*

Proof as $0(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$
 $\Rightarrow 0(w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in W$
 $\Rightarrow 0 \in \text{Ann}(W)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ann}(W) \neq \emptyset$

Let $\phi, \psi \in \text{Ann}(W)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi - \psi)(w) &= \phi(w) - \psi(w) \\ &= 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \quad \forall w \in W \end{aligned}$$

~~\rightarrow~~ $(\phi - \psi) \in \text{Ann}(W)$

Let $a \in F$, $\phi \in \text{Ann}(W)$

$$\begin{aligned} (a\phi)(w) &= a\phi(w) \\ &= a \cdot 0 \\ &= 0 \quad \forall w \in W \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a\phi \in \text{Ann}(w)$$

Theorem Suppose V has finite dimension & W is a subspace of V then

$$① \dim(W) + \dim(W^\circ) = \dim(V)$$

$$② W^{\circ\circ} = W \quad \{W^{\circ\circ} = (W^\circ)^\circ\}$$

Proof Let $\dim V = n$ & $\dim W = m \leq n$

Let $B = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$ be basis of W

So B is linearly independent set and it can be extended to a basis

$$B_1 = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-m}\}$$

$$= \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$$

Let $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n\}$ is a basis of V^* dual to basis B_1 of V

where $\psi_i(w_i) = 1$, $\psi_i(w_j) = 0 \forall 2 \leq i \leq m$
 $\psi_i(v_i) = 0 \forall i$

i.e. $\psi_i(v_j) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ & } \psi_i(v_i) = 1 \text{ if } i = j$

Claim

$$\dim W^\circ = n - m$$

$$\text{Let } \phi \in W^\circ \Rightarrow \phi \in V^*$$

$\{W^\circ \text{ is subspace of } V^*\}$

as $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n\}$ is a basis of V^* dual to basis $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ of V

$$\Rightarrow \phi = a_1\psi_1 + a_2\psi_2 + \dots + a_n\psi_n \text{ where.}$$

$$a_i^o = \phi(v_i) \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi = \phi(u_1)\psi_1 + \phi(u_2)\psi_2 + \dots + \phi(u_n)\psi_n$$

$$= 0 + 0 + \dots + \phi(u_{m+1})\psi_{m+1} + \dots + \phi(u_n)\psi_n$$

$$= \phi(u_{m+1})\psi_{m+1} + \dots + \phi(u_n)\psi_n$$

which is a linear combination of $\psi_{m+1}, \dots, \psi_n$

$\Rightarrow W^o$ is span of $\{\psi_{m+1}, \dots, \psi_n\}$

Also this is linearly independent set

being the subset of L.I set $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n\}$

$\Rightarrow \{\psi_{m+1}, \dots, \psi_n\}$ is basis of W^o

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W^o &= m - (m+1) + 1 \\ &= n - m \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) (W^o)^o = W \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W^o = \{\phi \in V^* : \phi(w) = 0 \forall w \in W\} \\ (W^o)^o = \{\phi \in V^{**} : \phi(w) = 0 \forall w \in W^o\} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Let } \dim W = m$$

$$\dim W^o = m - m$$

$$\left\{ \dim(V) = m \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W^o)^o &= m - (m - m) \\ &= m \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \because \dim(V^*) = n \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta(v) : V \rightarrow V^{*4} \\ \theta(u) = Tu \\ Tu : V^* \rightarrow \boxed{F}, \quad 20 \\ Tu(f) = f(u) \end{array} \right.$$

claim

$$W \subseteq W^{**}$$

$$\text{let } w \in W$$

$$\underline{\text{claim}} \quad Tw \in W^{**}$$

$$\text{let } f \in W^*$$

$$T_{w*}(f) = f(w) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Tw(f) = 0 \quad \# \quad f \in W^* .$$

~~$$f \in W^*$$~~

$$\Rightarrow Tw \in W^{**}$$

D

Let U and W be subspaces of V . Prove that
 $(U+W)^* = U^* \cap W^*$